

Supersymmetry, Shape Invariance and Exactly Solvable Noncentral Potentials

Avinash Khare

Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg
Bhubaneswar -751005, India.

Rajat K. Bhaduri

Department of Physics and Astronomy
McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4M1.

Abstract

Using the ideas of supersymmetry and shape invariance we show that the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a wide class of noncentral potentials can be obtained in a closed form by the operator method. This generalization considerably extends the list of exactly solvable potentials for which the solution can be obtained algebraically in a simple and elegant manner. As an illustration, we discuss in detail the example of the potential

$$V(r, \theta, \phi) = \frac{\omega^2}{4} r^2 + \frac{\delta}{r^2} + \frac{C}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} + \frac{D}{r^2 \cos^2 \theta} + \frac{F}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \alpha \phi} + \frac{G}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \alpha \phi}$$

with 7 parameters. Other algebraically solvable examples are also given.

I. Introduction

Most text books on nonrelativistic quantum mechanics show how the harmonic oscillator problem may be elegantly solved by the raising and lowering operator method [1]. Sometime ago, using the ideas of supersymmetry [2] and shape invariant potentials (SIP) [3], a generalization of this method [4] was used to handle many more potentials of interest. It was found there that this enlarged list included essentially all the solvable potentials found in most text books on nonrelativistic quantum mechanics. It turns out that most of these potentials are either one dimensional or are central potentials which again are essentially one dimensional but on the half-line. It is natural to enquire if one can also solve some noncentral potentials in three dimensions by the operator method . The purpose of this paper is to show that a large number of noncentral potentials that are separable in their coordinates and may have upto seven parameters can be solved by the operator method by using the known results for the various SIP. More precisely, we show that the energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of noncentral but separable potentials in spherical coordinates r, θ, ϕ can be simply written down by applying supersymmetry and shape invariance successively to the ϕ, θ and r dependent potentials.

The procedure outlined here is elegant, and simple, so that a student of quantum mechanics should be able to appreciate it. Indeed, we feel that the material presented here, along with that given in ref [4], may be profitably included in a graduate quantum mechanics course. Accordingly, we have kept this article at a pedagogical level and made it self-contained . In sec.II , we give a brief review of the main ideas of supersymmetric quantum mechanics and the concept of shape invariance, and then show how to obtain the energy eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of such potentials by a generalized operator method. Sec.III is the core of this article. In it we explain how the results for the known SIP may be used to algebraically obtain in a closed form the eigenvalues and the

eigenfunctions for noncentral but separable potentials . As an illustration we discuss in detail the noncentral potential

$$V_1(r, \theta, \phi) = \frac{\omega^2}{4}r^2 + \frac{\delta}{r^2} + \frac{C}{r^2\sin^2\theta} + \frac{D}{r^2\cos^2\theta} + \frac{F}{r^2\sin^2\theta\sin^2\alpha\phi} + \frac{G}{r^2\sin^2\theta\cos^2\alpha\phi}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\omega, \delta, C, D, G, F$ and α are arbitrary parameters, and show that the corresponding energy eigenvalues are

$$E^{(1)}_{n, n_1, n_2} = \left[(2n_2 + 1) + (\delta + l_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \omega, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$l_1^2 = \left[(2n_1 + 1) + \sqrt{D + \frac{1}{4}} + \left\{ C + \left(\sqrt{F + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}} + \sqrt{G + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}} + \alpha(2n + 1) \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2. \quad (1.3)$$

The expressions for the energy eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of the other noncentral potentials are also given.

II. Supersymmetry and Shape Invariance

Consider a potential $V_-(x)$ whose ground state wave function $\psi_0^{(-)} = \psi_0(x)$ is known and whose ground state energy has been adjusted (by adding a suitable constant to $V_-(x)$) to $E_0^{(-)} = 0$. Then the Schrödinger equation for the ground state is (we shall use $\hbar = 2M = 1$ throughout this article)

$$H_- \psi_0 = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_-(x) \right) \psi_0 = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

so that

$$V_-(x) = \frac{\psi_0''(x)}{\psi_0(x)}. \quad (2.2)$$

If we now define the operators

$$A = \frac{d}{dx} + W(x), \quad A^+ = -\frac{d}{dx} + W(x), \quad (2.3)$$

where the superpotential $W(x)$ is related to ψ_0 by

$$W(x) = -\frac{\psi'_0(x)}{\psi_0(x)}; \quad \psi_0(x) = N \exp\left[-\int^x W(y)dy\right], \quad (2.4)$$

then one finds that

$$H_- \equiv A^+ A = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + W^2 - W'(x). \quad (2.5)$$

The supersymmetric partner potential H_+ is given by

$$H_+ \equiv A A^+ = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + W^2 + W'(x). \quad (2.6)$$

If $\psi_n^{(-)}$ and $\psi_n^{(+)}$ denote the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian H_- and H_+ with eigenvalue $E_n^{(-)}$ and $E_n^{(+)}$ respectively then it is easily shown that

$$E_n^{(+)} = E_{n+1}^{(-)}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\psi_n^{(+)} = (E_{n+1}^{(-)})^{-1/2} A \psi_{n+1}^{(-)}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\psi_{n+1}^{(-)} = (E_n^{(+)})^{-1/2} A^+ \psi_n^{(+)}. \quad (2.9)$$

Further, the reflection and transmission coefficients (or phase shifts) of the two potentials are also related [2].

The underlying reason for this relationship is the fact that there is a supersymmetry in the problem. In particular it is easily shown that the supercharges Q and Q^+ defined by

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^+ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.10)$$

along with the Hamiltonian H given by

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_- & 0 \\ 0 & H_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.11)$$

satisfy the algebra

$$Q^2 = 0 = Q^{+2}, \quad [H, Q] = 0 = [H, Q^+] \quad (2.12)$$

$$QQ^+ + Q^+Q = H. \quad (2.13)$$

It must be emphasized here that the supersymmetry only gives the relationship between the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the two Hamiltonians but does not yield the actual spectrum. For that purpose one needs an extra integrability condition called the shape invariance condition which was introduced by Gendenshtein [3]. Stated simply, if the pair of supersymmetric partner potentials $V_{\pm}(x)$ defined above are similar in shape and differ only in the parameters which appear in them, then they are said to be shape invariant. More specifically, if $V_{\pm}(x; a_0)$ satisfy the requirement

$$V_+(x; a_0) = V_-(x; a_1) + R(a_1), \quad (2.14)$$

where a_0 is a set of parameters and a_1 is an arbitrary function of a_0 ($a_1 = f(a_0)$) and the remainder $R(a_1)$ is independent of x , then $V_{\pm}(x; a_0)$ are said to be shape invariant. In such a case the energy eigenvalue spectrum of the Hamiltonian H_- is given by[3]

$$E_n^{(-)}(a_0) = \sum_{k=1}^n R(a_k); \quad E_0^{(-)}(a_0) = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

with $a_k = f^k(a_0)$, i.e., the function f applied k times. Subsequently, Dutt et al [5] showed that the eigenfunctions $\psi_n^{(-)}$ of H_- can also be written down algebraically

$$\psi_n^{(-)}(x; a_0) = A^+(x; a_0)A^+(x; a_1)\dots A^+(x; a_{n-1})\psi_0^{(-)}(x; a_n) \quad (2.16)$$

which is clearly a generalization of the operator method for the celebrated harmonic oscillator potential. Later on Dabrowska et al [6] algebraically obtained the explicit expressions for $\psi_n^{(-)}$ for all the known SIP by using Eq.(2.16).

It turned out [7] that there are twelve SIP for which $a_1 = a_0 + \alpha$; α being a constant. Out of these, eleven are contained in the table in ref.[4], while the remaining one was pointed out by Levai [8]. Recently it has been realized [9] that out of these twelve, two are

not really independent, so that one really has ten independent SIP (with $a_1 = a_0 + \alpha$), and for all of which E_n and ψ_n can be obtained algebraically. Most of these potentials are also contained in the factorization approach of Schrödinger [10]. It is worth repeating that all the SIP are either one dimensional or central potentials which are again essentially one dimensional in nature.

III. Shape Invariance and Noncentral Potentials

Noncentral potentials are normally not discussed in most text books on quantum mechanics. This is presumably because most of them are not analytically solvable. However, it is worth noting that there is a class of noncentral potentials in 3-dimensions [11] for which the Schrödinger equation is separable. In spherical coordinates r, θ, ϕ ($0 \leq r \leq \infty, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$), the most general potential for which the Schrodinger equation is separable is given by

$$V(r, \theta, \phi) = V(r) + \frac{V(\theta)}{r^2} + \frac{V(\phi)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $V(r), V(\theta)$ and $V(\phi)$ are arbitrary functions of their argument. Although we use the same symbol V for simplicity of notation, the functions $V(r), V(\theta)$, and $V(\phi)$ need not be the same. The crucial point is that for each of $V(r), V(\theta)$ and $V(\phi)$ one can choose a well known SIP and hence can immediately write down the corresponding energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions algebraically. Thus by applying supersymmetry and shape invariance successively, i.e. first to $V(\phi)$, then to $V(\theta)$ and finally to $V(r)$, we can algebraically solve a large class of noncentral potentials. First, let us see why the Schrödinger equation with a potential of the form given by Eq.(3.1) is separable in the (r, θ, ϕ) coordinates. The equation for the wave function $\Psi(r, \theta, \phi)$ is

$$\left[-\left(\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial^2 r} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \theta^2} + \cot \theta \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \phi^2} \right] = (E - V) \Psi. \quad (3.2)$$

It is convenient to write $\Psi(r, \theta, \phi)$ as

$$\Psi(r, \theta, \phi) = \frac{R(r)}{r} \frac{H(\theta)}{(\sin\theta)^{1/2}} K(\phi) . \quad (3.3)$$

Substituting Eq.(3.3) in Eq.(3.2), we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{R} \frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} + V(r) - \frac{1}{4r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left[-\frac{1}{H} \frac{d^2 H}{d\theta^2} + V(\theta) - \frac{1}{4} \text{cosec}^2 \theta \right] + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \left[-\frac{1}{K} \frac{d^2 K}{d\phi^2} + V(\phi) \right] = E . \quad (3.4)$$

Suppose $K(\phi)$ obeys the equation

$$-\frac{d^2 K}{d\phi^2} + V(\phi) K(\phi) = m^2 K(\phi) . \quad (3.5)$$

Substituting this in Eq.(3.4), the ϕ -dependence in it is eliminated, resulting in the equation,

$$-\frac{1}{R} \frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} + \left[V(r) - \frac{1}{4r^2} \right] + \frac{1}{r^2} \left[-\frac{1}{H} \frac{d^2 H}{d\theta^2} + V(\theta) + \left(m^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \text{cosec}^2 \theta \right] = E . \quad (3.6)$$

Next, let $H(\theta)$ obey the equation

$$-\frac{d^2 H}{d\theta^2} + \left[V(\theta) + \left(m^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \text{cosec}^2 \theta \right] H(\theta) = l^2 H(\theta) . \quad (3.7)$$

A further substitution of this equation in Eq.(3.6) then completes the separation of the variables , giving the radial equation ,

$$-\frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} + \left[V(r) + \frac{(l^2 - \frac{1}{4})}{r^2} \right] R(r) = E R(r) . \quad (3.8)$$

The three solutions given by Eqs. (3.5), (3.7), and (3.8) may be implemented algebraically by using the well-known results for the SIP in each case.

As one example, we discuss the potential given by Eq.(1.1) in some detail. Comparing Eqs. (1.1) and (3.1) , we obtain

$$V_1(\phi) = F \text{cosec}^2 \alpha \phi + G \sec^2 \alpha \phi , \quad (3.9)$$

where the subscript 1 refers to the particular example under consideration. Now, we know from [4] that if the superpotential W is chosen as

$$W = A \tan \alpha \phi - B \cot \alpha \phi , \quad (3.10)$$

then, using Eqs.(2.5) and (2.6) , the corresponding (shape invariant) potentials $V_{\mp}$ are given by

$$V_{\mp}(\phi) = -(A + B)^2 + A(A \mp \alpha) \sec^2 \alpha \phi + B(B \mp \alpha) \operatorname{cosec}^2 \alpha \phi . \quad (3.11)$$

Hence,

$$V_+(A, B, \alpha, x) = V_-(A + \alpha, B + \alpha, \alpha, x) + (A + B + 2\alpha)^2 - (A + B)^2 . \quad (3.12)$$

On comparing Eqs.(3.9) and (3.11) we see that , discounting the overall constant $-(A + B)^2$, V_1 and V_- are identical if

$$F = B(B - \alpha), \quad G = A(A - \alpha) .$$

Thus the energy eigenvalues m_1^2 of Eq.(3.5) with $V(\phi) = V_1(\phi)$ follow from Eqs.(2.14), (2.15) and (3.12) ,

$$m_1^2 = (A + B + 2n\alpha)^2 , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.13)$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are given by [4] ,

$$K_1(\phi) = (\sin \alpha \phi)^{B/\alpha} (\cos \alpha \phi)^{A/\alpha} P_n^{\frac{B}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{A}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}}(\cos 2\alpha \phi) . \quad (3.14)$$

Having solved the eigenvalue equation for the ϕ -part, we proceed to solve the Schrödinger equation given by Eq.(3.7) for the θ -variable .Again , comparing Eqs.(1.1) and (3.1), we see that

$$V_1(\theta) = C \operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta + D \sec^2 \theta . \quad (3.15)$$

The resulting Schrödinger equation for $H_1(\theta)$ is

$$-\frac{d^2 H_1}{d\theta^2} + [(C + m_1^2 - 1/4) \operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta + D \sec^2 \theta] H_1 = l_1^2 H_1. \quad (3.16)$$

Using the same algebraic procedure as before, the eigenvalues l_1^2 and the eigenfunctions $H_1(\theta)$ of Eq.(3.16) are found to be

$$l_1^2 = (A_1 + B_1 + 2n_1 + \frac{1}{2})^2, \quad (3.17)$$

$$H_1(\theta) = (\sin \theta)^{B_1+1/2} (\cos \theta)^{A_1} P_{n_1}^{B_1, A_1-\frac{1}{2}}(\cos 2\theta), \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$D = A_1(A_1 - 1), \quad C + m_1^2 = B_1^2. \quad (3.19)$$

Notice that in general there is no degeneracy in l_1 except in the special case when $C = 0$, and α is a rational number. In particular for $C = 0$, l_1^2 is given by

$$l_1^2 = 1 + 2n_1 + (2n_1 + 1)\alpha + \sqrt{D + 1/4} + \sqrt{F + \alpha^2/4} + \sqrt{G + \alpha^2/4}. \quad (3.20)$$

So if $\alpha = p/q$, where p, q are integers with no common factors, then there is degeneracy.

Finally, the radial Eq.(3.8) for the potential (1.1) is given by

$$-\frac{d^2 R_1}{dr^2} + \left(\frac{\omega^2 r^2}{4} + \frac{\delta + l_1^2 - 1/4}{r^2} \right) R_1 = E^{(1)} R_1, \quad (3.21)$$

where $E^{(1)}$ denotes the energy eigenvalue. Choosing the superpotential

$$W = A_2 r - \frac{(B_2 + 1)}{r}, \quad (3.22)$$

the corresponding shape invariant potential V_- is given by

$$V_-(r) = A_2^2 r^2 + \frac{B_2}{r^2} (B_2 + 1) - A_2 (2B_2 + 3). \quad (3.23)$$

A little algebra will show that

$$V_+(A_2, B_2, r) = V_-(A_2, B_2 + 1, r) - A_2(2B_2 + 3) + A_2(2B_2 + 1) .$$

On comparing Eqs.(3.21) and (3.23) , we see that , apart from the overall constant $-A_2(2B_2 + 3)$, the radial potential in Eq.(3.21) is the same as V_- if

$$\frac{\omega^2}{4} = A_2^2, \quad \delta + l_1^2 = (B_2 + 1/2)^2 . \quad (3.24)$$

The energy eigenvalues of the noncentral potential (1.1) may again be immediately written down as before . We find

$$E_{n,n_1,n_2}^{(1)} = (2n_2 + B_2 + \frac{3}{2})\omega , \quad (3.25)$$

while the corresponding radial part of the eigenfunction is given by

$$R_1(r) = r^{B_2+1} e^{-\omega r^2/4} L_{n_2}^{B_2+\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{1}{2}\omega r^2) . \quad (3.26)$$

Thus the total eigenfunction for the noncentral potential (1.1) is given by the form (3.3), with $R_1(r)$, $H_1(\theta)$ and $K_1(\phi)$ given by Eqs.(3.26) , (3.18) and (3.14) respectively.

In terms of the seven parameters, the energy eigenvalues are given by Eq.(1.2) ($n, n_1, n_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) , where we see the highly nontrivial dependence of $E^{(1)}$ on these parameters. Note that there is a degeneracy in the problem when either δ and/or C are zero and α is a rational number. The maximum degeneracy is obtained in case both δ and C are zero and α is a rational number and then the energy eigenvalues are given by

$$E_{n,n_1,n_2}^{(1)} = \left\{ 2(n_1 + n_2 + n\alpha + 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}) + \sqrt{D + 1/4} + \sqrt{G + \alpha^2/4} + \sqrt{F + \alpha^2/4} \right\} \omega . \quad (3.27)$$

Since the degeneracy is usually associated with some symmetry in the problem, it would be interesting to enquire about the extra symmetry in the problem. For the case $\alpha = 1$

(apart from $\delta = C = 0$), the symmetry is clear. In such a case , the potential is simply the spherically symmetric harmonic oscillator , with the perturbations of the form G/x^2 , F/y^2 and C/z^2 . However, in general, for any rational α , the symmetry is not obvious.

We thus have shown that by choosing $V(r)$, $V(\theta)$ and $V(\phi)$ appropriately , the energy eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of the noncentral potential (1.1) can be written down algebraically by using the results for the well known SIP. By going through the list of the well known SIP , one can now immediately write down E and Ψ for a class of noncentral but separable potentials. In particular notice that another possible form for the shape invariant $V(\phi)$ is [12] given by

$$V_2(\phi) = F \operatorname{cosec}^2 \alpha \phi + G \cot \alpha \phi . \quad (3.28)$$

We may now use the relation [8] that if

$$W = -A \cot \alpha \phi - \frac{B}{A} , \quad (3.29)$$

then the corresponding (SIP) V_- is given by

$$V_- = A(A - \alpha) \operatorname{cosec}^2 \alpha \phi + 2B \cot \alpha \phi - A^2 + \frac{B^2}{A^2} . \quad (3.30)$$

Hence the energy eigenvalues are

$$m_2^2 = (A + n\alpha)^2 - \frac{B^2}{(A + n\alpha)^2} , \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$A = (\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4F})/2 , \quad B = G/2 . \quad (3.32)$$

Note that in unbroken supersymmetry, $A > 0$, and the negative root is rejected. The corresponding eigenfunctions are found to be [8]

$$K_2(\phi) = (\sin \alpha \phi)^{(n+s)} e^{a\alpha \phi} P_n^{(-s-n-ia, -s-n+ia)} (i \cot \alpha \phi) , \quad (3.33)$$

where

$$s = A/\alpha, \lambda = \frac{B}{\alpha^2}, a = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha(s+n)}. \quad (3.34)$$

Similarly, the other possible forms for the shape invariant θ -dependent potential $V(\theta)$ are

$$V_2(\theta) = C \operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta + D \cot \theta, \quad (3.35)$$

and

$$V_3(\theta) = C \operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta + D \operatorname{cosec} \theta \cot \theta. \quad (3.36)$$

The energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger eq.(3.11) for the potential $V_2(\theta)$ are [8]

$$l_2^2 = (A_1 + n_1 + \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{D^2}{4(A_1 + n_1 + \frac{1}{2})^2}, \quad A_1^2 = C + m^2, \quad (3.37)$$

$$H_2(\theta) = (\sin \theta)^{(n_1 + A_1 + \frac{1}{2})} e^{a_1 \theta} P_{n_1}^{(-A_1 - n_1 - \frac{1}{2} - ia_1, -A_1 - n_1 - \frac{1}{2} + ia_1)}(i \cot \theta), \quad (3.38)$$

where m is either m_1 or m_2 as given by eq.(3.13) or (3.31) respectively, and

$$a_1 = \frac{D}{2(A_1 + n_1 + \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (3.39)$$

In order to find the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions for the potential $V_3(\theta)$, note that if

$$W = -(A_1 + \frac{1}{2}) \cot \theta - B_1 \operatorname{cosec} \theta, \quad (3.40)$$

then the corresponding (SIP) $V_-(\theta)$ is given by

$$V_-(\theta) = (A_1^2 + B_1^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta + 2A_1 B_1 \cot \theta \operatorname{cosec} \theta - (A_1 + \frac{1}{2})^2. \quad (3.41)$$

Hence the the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger eq.(3.11) for the potential $V_3(\theta)$ are [4,6],

$$l_3^2 = (A_1 + n_1 + \frac{1}{2})^2, \quad (3.42)$$

$$H_3(\theta) = \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{(A_1+B_1+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{(A_1-B_1+\frac{1}{2})} P_{n_1}^{(A_1+B_1, A_1-B_1)}(\cos \theta) , \quad (3.43)$$

where

$$m^2 + C = A_1^2 + B_1^2, D = 2A_1B_1 , \quad (3.44)$$

with m being either m_1 or m_2 as given by Eqs.(3.13) or (3.31) respectively.

Finally, the other possible form for the shape invariant r-dependent potential $V(r)$ is

$$V_2(r) = -\frac{e^2}{r} + \frac{\delta}{r^2} . \quad (3.45)$$

Observe that [4] if

$$W = \frac{e^2}{2(B_2 + 1)} - \frac{(B_2 + 1)}{r} , \quad (3.46)$$

then the corresponding (SIP) $V_-(r)$ is given by

$$V_-(r) = -\frac{e^2}{r} + \frac{B_2(B_2 + 1)}{r^2} + \frac{e^4}{4(B_2 + 1)^2} . \quad (3.47)$$

Hence the energy eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions for the potential which follow from ref.[4,6] are

$$E^{(2)} = -\frac{e^4}{4(n_2 + B_2 + 1)^2} , \quad (3.48)$$

$$R_2(r) = y^{B_2+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}y\right) L_{n_2}^{2B_2+1}(y) , \quad (3.49)$$

where

$$y = \frac{e^2 r}{(n_2 + B_2 + 1)}; \quad (B_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 = \delta + l^2 , \quad (3.50)$$

with l being l_1 or l_2 or l_3 depending on the form of $V(\theta)$. We thus see that there are 2 ϕ -dependent, 3 θ -dependent and 2 r-dependent SIP. As a result, we can immediately construct twelve different noncentral potentials by taking various combinations of $V(r)$, $V(\theta)$ and $V(\phi)$ and in each case we can write down the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions

algebraically by using the well known results for the SIP as mentioned above. The main results can be summarised as follows

- (i) For six noncentral potentials, the energy eigenvalue are given by the formula (3.25) and for the remaining six cases by the formula (3.48) depending on if one is considering the oscillator or the Coulomb potential. Ofcourse, the value of B_2 will vary from potential to potential depending on the form of $V(\theta)$ and $V(\phi)$ as explained above.

- (ii) For all the 12 potentials, the eigenfunctions are given by

$$\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = R_i(r)H_j(\theta)K_q(\phi)$$

where $i, q = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$, depending on the choice of the potentials. For example, for the potential (1.1), $\psi = R_1(r)H_1(\theta)K_1(\phi)$, where R_1, H_1 and K_1 are as given by eqs.(3.26), (3.18) and (3.14) respectively.

- (iii) In case $V(\theta)$ is $V_1(\theta)$ or $V_3(\theta)$, there is a degeneracy in the spectrum for $\delta = 0$ irrespective of the form of $V(r)$ and $V(\phi)$. On the other hand, when $V(\phi) = V_1(\phi)$ there is a degeneracy in the spectrum if $C = 0$ and α is a rational number. However, if $V(\phi) = V_2(\phi)$ and $V(\theta) = V_2(\theta)$ there is no degeneracy in the spectrum irrespective of the form of $V(r)$. Finally, in case $V(\theta) = V_1(\theta)$ or $V_3(\theta)$, and $V(\phi) = V_1(\phi)$, there is maximum degeneracy when both C and δ are zero and α is a rational number for either form of $V(r)$. Degeneracy in the spectrum is usually associated with some symmetry in the system, and it may be worthwhile to explore this connection.
- (iv) For the six noncentral potentials where $V(r)$ is taken to be the Coulomb potential, one also has a continuous spectrum over and above the discrete one. It would be interesting to obtain the phase shifts for these noncentral potentials algebraically.

IV. Conclusions

In this paper, we have shown that there exist twelve noncentral but separable potentials each of which have seven parameters and for each of which the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions may be written down in a closed form algebraically using the well known results for the shape invariant potentials. We find this remarkable . In this context, it is worth remembering that even for central potentials, the most general solvable potentials are those of Natanzon type with six parameters but where the potential is not explicitly known [13].

Generalization of our technique to other noncentral potentials is quite straight forward. For example, one could instead consider the Schrödinger equation in the cylindrical coordinates and obtain other examples of the noncentral potentials with seven parameters for which the spectra can be written down by using the well known results for the SIP $V(\phi)$, $V(\rho)$ and $V(z)$, where $\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Other system of coordinates , or even higher dimensions may similarly be considered.

Acknowledgements

This research was started when R.K.B. was visiting the Institute of Physics . The hospitality enjoyed there is gratefully acknowledged. This work was partially funded by N.S.E.R.C. of Canada.

References

- [1] See for example , L. Schiff, Quantum Mechanics (Mcgraw-Hill, New York, 1968);
L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics (Pergamon, New York, 1977) ;
R.Shankar , Principles of Quantum Mechanics (Pergamon , New York , 1977).
- [2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B185**, 513 (1981); F. Cooper and B. Freedman, Ann. Phys. (N.Y) **146**, 262 (1983); For some recent reviews on this field see A. Lahiri, P.K. Roy and B. Bagchi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A5**, 1383 (1990); A.Khare and U.P. Sukhatme, Phys. News (India) **22**, 35 (1991).
- [3] L. Gendenshtein, JETP Lett. **38**, 356 (1983).
- [4] R. Dutt, A. Khare and U.P. Sukhatme, Ame. J. Phys. **56**, 163 (1988).
- [5] R. Dutt, A. Khare and U.P. Sukhatme, Phys. Lett. **B181**, 295 (1986).
- [6] J.W. Dabrowska, A. Khare and U.P. Sukhatme, Jour. of Phys. **A21**, L 195 (1988).
- [7] F. Cooper, J. Ginocchio and A. Khare, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 2458 (1987).
- [8] G. Levai, J. of Phys. **A22**, 689 (1989).
- [9] R. Dutt, A. Gangopadhyaya, A. Khare, A. Pagnamenta and U.P. Sukhatme, Phys. Lett. **A174**, 363 (1993).
- [10] E. Schrödinger, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. **A46**, 9 (1940); L. Infeld and T.W. Hull, Rev. Mod. Phys. **23**, 21 (1951).
- [11] See for example, P.M. Morse and H. Feshback, Methods of Theoretical Physics, Vol.I, Chapter 5, Mcgraw Hill (N.Y) (1953).
- [12] The two other possible forms of SIP as given by $V_3(\phi) = F \operatorname{cosec}^2 \alpha \phi$ and $V_4(\phi) = F \operatorname{cosec}^2 \alpha \phi + G \cot \alpha \phi \operatorname{cosec} \alpha \phi$ are not really independent as the former is a special case ($G = 0$) of Eq.(3.28) while the latter can be reduced to $V_1(\phi)$ as given by Eq.(3.9) , since $V_4(\phi)$ may be written as $V_4(\phi) = (\frac{G+F}{4})\operatorname{cosec}^2(\frac{\alpha\phi}{2}) + \frac{(F-G)}{4}\sec^2(\frac{\alpha\phi}{2})$.
- [13] P. Cordero and S. Salamo, J. Phys. **A24**, 5200 (1991).